

---

# Fragmented Selves and Rewritten Myths: Autofictional Structures in Marissa Meyer's *Cinder*

Borbála Bökös<sup>1</sup>

---

## Abstract

This paper will make use of autofiction theory, especially as discussed by Effe and Lawlor, as well as critical views on posthuman identity from Donna Haraway and Rosi Braidotti, and insights from YA cultural analysis, to attempt to put Marissa Meyer's novel, *Cinder* (2012) at the crossroads of YA science fiction, fairy-tale retelling, and a growing interest in autofictional narrative. The goal is to look at the ways in which *Cinder* dramatizes fragmented selfhood in a posthuman setting by closely analyzing scenes that feature Cinder's cyborg body, her self-assembly, as well as different fairy-tale echoes. These scenes, I argue, explore themes of self-authorship, memory gaps, and digital embodiment.

## Keywords

posthumanism, autofictional identity, Young Adult fiction, science fiction, genre hybridity

## Theoretical Framework: A Note on Posthumanism and Cyborgs

That of posthuman cyborg identity has been a major theoretical paradigm in recent years (see works by Rosi Braidotti, N. Katherine Hayles, and many scholars cited in Donna R. White and Anita Tarr's collection of essays, *Posthumanism in Young Adult Science Fiction*). This framework challenges familiar ideas of the human and draws our attention to the ambiguity of the relations between organic and inorganic, human and nonhuman, and what this implies for subjectivity, agency and ethics in a technologically mediated world. Based on this basic understanding of posthuman cyborg identity, it is important to consider how the broader field of posthumanism envisages the critical project. Posthumanism is not uniform but

---

<sup>1</sup> Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

coalesces and subverts human exceptionalism on constant basis, particularly where it coincides with critiques of anthropocentrism.

The posthumanist is considered to be the product of the intersection between posthumanism (which criticizes the universalist depiction of 'Man' and human exceptionalism) and post-anthropocentrism (which challenges species hierarchy and human exceptionalism) (Braidotti 2). It does not only criticize the conventional notions of 'Man,' but it also opens to new interdisciplinary fields (Braidotti 1).

This re-conceptualization of the human inevitably results in a re-valuation of subjectivity. A major figure in this field, N. Katherine Hayles, questions liberal humanism and the ways in which posthumanism undermines its very fundamental concepts. Hayles claims that the liberal humanist subject, constructed historically as a white European male assumes a universality that has silenced other voices. Its "possessive quality" conceives the person as the "proprietor of his own person or capacities, owing nothing to society for them," (3-4), with freedom being a derivative of possession. The posthuman, in contrast, resolves this paradox by doing away with the 'natural' self, presenting the subject as an "amalgam, a collection of heterogeneous components, a material-informational entity whose boundaries undergo continuous construction and reconstruction" (Hayles 3).

Whereas Hayles finds the movement from autonomous, self-contained subject to distributed, emergent posthuman all but complete, Braidotti extends this critique to a planetary level. She investigates the way in which posthumanist thought undoes the meta-categories of the "human" and "human nature", the anthropocentric predilections informing modern epistemologies. The critical posthumanities, according to Braidotti, respond to the demise of the hegemony of "universalist 'Man'" and "supremacist Anthropos" (12). This is replaced, she claims, with a "naturecultures' continuum" that removes the "absolute distinction between bios and zoe." (3) This shift questions who "we" are and whose anxieties are taken up in the debates about posthumanism and post-anthropocentrism (Braidotti 4).

This larger philosophical reorientation is reflected in literary scholarship in the field of young adult literature, which considers the cultural implications of such reconceptualization. Of particular interest, by way of example as are Tarr and White, the threads reveal that these theoretical turns unsettle hierarchies and binary distinctions central to humanist ideologies of the past.

As some of the scholars that Tarr and White refers to, including Tarr and White themselves, emphasize, traditional humanism figures

the human by contrasting it to "Others," that is, animals, machines, the disabled, and clones, while it also ranks them according to their values and powers (Tarr and White 15). Posthumanism, conversely, rejects this separation, insisting that humans are "embodied and embedded" within an environment and co-evolve with other entities, organic and inorganic, in mutually sustaining relationships (Tarr and White 15).

Posthuman cyborg identification is entirely reliant on ideas of hybridity, assemblage, and networked existence. These critiques also highlight the positive content of posthumanism. Instead of just disassembling old paradigms there are those, such as Braidotti, who offer affirmative refigurations of posthuman subjectivity that rely on interconnectedness, embodiment, and ethical engagement.

Braidotti frames material, mediated posthuman subjects as constituting a "materially embodied and embedded community, a 'people,' bonded by affirmative ethics" (2). These subjects operate within a "zoe-centred framework," emphasizing complex assemblages of human and non-human, planetary and cosmic forces (12). She also introduces the concept of "nomadic becoming," where the posthuman is less a fixed entity and more a "figuration" or "conceptual persona" that tracks ongoing processes of subject-formation in a fast-changing world (3).

To add to Braidotti's vision of nomadic becoming and embedded materiality, Hayles has a more technologically inflected view. Her perspective locates the body within a trajectory of prosthetic augmentation and the transmission of information, stressing the continuity between body and machine. Hayles emphasizes that the posthuman perspective portrays the body as the "original prosthesis" that we learn to manage, so that when we extend or augment the body with other prosthesis, this merely extends a process already at work (3). She argues that the posthuman seamlessly articulates with intelligent machines, blurring essential differences between bodily existence and computer simulation, cybernetic mechanism and biological organism. The very idea of distributed cognition, where the self is a "posthuman collectivity" or an "I" transformed into a "we" of autonomous agents, challenges traditional individual agency (3, 6, 290).

When we think about our bodies and how they interact with technology, it opens up interesting discussions about identity. The concept of the body being a prosthetic continuum is closely tied to the study of identity as assemblage. Some critics argue that our identities aren't fixed; instead, they're like a blend of different influences that connect us with many forms of life around us. This idea suggests that the posthuman self is diverse and adaptable, which makes it tough to

draw clear lines between what we consider hybrids—like the mix of human and machine.

For example, critics like Tarr and White explain that our identities are shaped by our relationships with countless species on Earth, thus reinforcing the idea of the posthumanist self seen as assemblage, a "congeries, whose origins are multi-species and whose very survival is founded on symbiotic relations with numerous forms of life on earth" (White 149). This view sees identity as constantly changing rather than something stable and singular. The technologically enhanced "hybrid" is undoubtedly situated within transhumanism – a subsidiary of posthumanism, one that maintains the notion of engineered evolution toward "post-humans" – those whose powers surpass those of the present humans (Merrylees 76). A significant distinction in this discussion is between what we can call "informed posthumans," (Hayles's view, acknowledging close tie between technology and our bodies) and an "uninformed, reinscribed (and intentionally hyphenated) post-human" who treat technology as separate (Jaques 22). The integration of the body into a "cybernetic circuit" means our bodies are deeply embedded in a larger technological and social framework that shapes our experiences and identities, making us part of a complex web of interactions (Hayles 117-18).

These ontological changes in the understanding of the self have important ethical implications as well. As the distinction between such categories as human, machine and animal becomes blurred, thinkers like Donna Haraway ponder the ways in which such a blurring offers both opportunities — and dangers — in the reimagining of identity, power, and political engagement.

Posthuman cyborg is by definition the transgression and re-evaluation of borders at many levels which, as result, carries high ethical concerns. Haraway, through the lens of the cyborg, points to "three crucial boundary breakdowns": between human and animal, between animal-human (organism) and machine, and between physical and non-physical (151). These transgressions lead to "potent fusions and dangerous possibilities" (154) that progressive people can explore for political work.

Building on Haraway's considered vision of transgression of boundaries Braidotti emphasizes the need for ethical constructs in order to deal with these new realities. Instead of portraying the posthuman as dystopian or disquieting, she sees it as a theoretical instrument for creating new solidarities and ways of understanding. Braidotti stresses that the "posthuman" is "normatively neutral" and

should not be regarded as apocalyptic or inherently subversive, but rather as a figuration enabling "subtler and more complex analyses of powers and discourses" (4-5). Her theoretical concept advocates for an "affirmative ethics" and the construction of new subjects of knowledge through "immanent assemblages or transversal alliances between multiple actors" (4-5, 2). This zoe-centred framework is strengthened by analyzing power relations and social exclusions, linking to concepts like "bio-piracy" and "necro-politics" (12).

Hayles too encourages a cautious navigation of these new terrains. She pushes for embodiment and information to be thought together and cautions against disembodied visions of the posthuman—instead seeking a grounded, relational ethics of technology and subjectivity. Hayles makes use of literary examples to illustrate the anxiety that occurs when the perceived boundaries of the body are breached (23, 115). She argues against disembodiment, asserting that "information, like humanity, cannot exist apart from the embodiment that brings it into being as a material entity in the world" (48). For Hayles, taking responsibility for the social relations of science and technology means embracing the "skillful task of reconstructing the boundaries of daily life, in partial connection with others" (291).

These theoretical interventions lend themselves to detailed application in young adult fiction, where posthumanism meets concerns over adolescent identities, biopolitics and cultural anxiety. Tarr and White show how YA fiction becomes a testing ground for the limits—and the ethical stakes—of these newly configured relations.

Moreover, Tarr and White consider how these border dissolutions are depicted in young adult fiction, and, in doing so, not only trace cultural anxieties, but also demonstrate the potential to reimagine identity (11, 15). They mention the bioethical debate, which distinguishes between "compensation (or correction) and enhancement (or augmentation)," raising concerns about radical inequality if enhancements are only accessible to the elite (Tarr and White 13, Insenga 58). They also touch upon the call for civil rights for cyborgs and artificial intelligences, challenging the species barrier between humans and animals (Tarr and White 13).

Taken together, these readings construct a more nuanced understanding of posthuman cyborg identity as a critique and a cultural metaphor. It is by no means a uniform or entirely celebratory framework, but rather one that provokes us to reconsider the category of the human in light of hybridity, fluidity, and engagement in an ever-changing technological world.

In short, posthuman cyborg identity, which is conceptualized by

Braidotti, Hayles, and others quoted by Tarr and White, is a complex and dynamic entity that implies a significant reconsideration of what it is to be human in the time of connectivity and mediation by means of technology. It requires embracing hybridity, acknowledging distributed subjectivity, and committing to ethically engaging the dissolved boundary between the living and the technological.

### **Cinder at the Crossroads of Genre: YA Sci-Fi and Fairy-Tale Retelling**

Marissa Meyer's *Cinder* offers a fascinating case study in genre hybridization, adapting the world famous Cinderella fairy tale into a cyberpunk science-fiction narrative. As Javid Aliyev and Rasheed Ghassan Abed note, "[t]he traditional fairy tale usually regenerates social and cultural constructions, mainly those norms on gendering the female body" (198). However, Meyer's retelling is largely subversive, injecting posthuman critical theory into her adaptation. Aliyev and Abed argue that "contemporary posthuman critical theory has been fundamental in contributing to current debates and negotiating the traditional gendering issue, particularly in regard to the female and female cyborg body" (198).

The impact of fairy tales on developing personality and society's expectations has been studied extensively in contemporary times in cultural, feminist, and gender studies. Traditionally, fairy tales "were employed to acculturate young girls" to "accept codes of conventional femininity" (Palmer qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208). This acculturation often features girls being assimilated into patriarchal norms, where they are expected to be passive and conform to an "exaggerated feminized way" (Aliyev and Abed 208). Pauline Palmer's observations on the traditional role of fairy tales in "acculturat[ing] young girls" underscore the societal function these stories have historically served (Palmer qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208).

Meyer's novel directly challenges this centuries-old tradition. She "re-imagined the traditional Cinderella fairy tale into a posthuman cyborg, Cinder, as her protagonist" (Aliyev and Abed 199). The core objective of Meyer's series is to "criticize traditional fairy tales" by blending them with "contemporary theory of the posthuman" (Aliyev and Abed 207). This involves a significant "reworking of the female body of Cinderella, the protagonist," transforming her into a "cyborg female" within a "cyberpunk novel series" (Aliyev and Abed 208). The conversion extends beyond character, as Meyer also "converts the fairy tale elements into sci-fi (magic, fantastic elements and a universal

lesson) in her work" (Aliyev and Abed 208).

The setting of *Cinder* exemplifies this fusion. It takes place in a "cyberpunk world of 'high-tech low life'," where Earth is "plagued by a deadly virus" (Aliyev and Abed 209). Unlike the traditional Cinderella who is "assisted by a fantastic magic agency" and "waits desperately for someone to rescue her from her dismay," Meyer's *Cinder* is "empowered with knowledge and the power of advance technology" (Aliyev and Abed 209). Her journey is one of "active and independent persona" as she quests "to uncover her lost identity," (Aliyev and Abed 209) eventually leading her to rebellion. This foundational shift changes the story from waiting for a savior to being the explorer of one's own willing. Leah Phillips notes that *Cinder*, "as a Cinderella figure, should be the hero's prize, but owing to her status as a cyborg, her body is unfit to be the hero's prize" (Phillips 21). Instead, she "breaks the mirror to offer a 'girl full of wires'" (Meyer qtd in Phillips 22), disrupting the stereotypical image of the heroine. Angela S. Insenga further details this, stating that "virtually all iterations of the Cinderella mythos center on material embodiment, a trope that pervades any etymological study of the persecuted heroine core story" (55). Meyer leverages this, but "in a posthuman context, so that the concept is not relegated solely to materiality" (Insenga 55).

Meyer's *Cinder* not only retells a familiar story but actively "subverts the dominant myth of sexuality, love and happiness of the Cinderella story (as a cultural stereotype)" (Aliyev and Abed 208). She replaces the "traditional Cinderella as a stereotypical character" with a new "posthuman form and body" (Aliyev and Abed 208). This new *Cinder* is a "cyborg teenager" with "a remarkable artificial leg and arm," whose "body was ruined by doctors due to a cyborg operation, and 36% percent of her body is not human," which "ruins her femininity" (Aliyev and Abed 208).

This conscious act of rewriting is central to this study's purpose, as it investigates how Meyer "reworks the female body of Cinderella" and how this "reworked female body became a cyborg female" (Aliyev and Abed 208). Modern authors, as the sources emphasize, frequently "reinvent its familiar stories after their own fashion since, as Angela Carter well knew, fairy tales 'can be remade again and again by every person who tells them'" (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208). Meyer's approach aligns with Emma Donoghue's revisionary writing, defined by Adrienne Rich as an "act of looking back, of seeing with fresh eyes, of entering an old text from a new critical direction" (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208). Through this refashioning, Meyer modernizes the story and interrogates—critically—the underlying dominant patriarchal tropes,

offering a "utopian dream" where "every scriptwriter and director takes up a passive Cinderella and turns her into a champion freedom fighter" (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208).

Others, too, have considered the extent to which Cinder problematizes gendered embodiment in teen girlhood and dystopian YA more generally. For example, Jessica D. and Mel R. contend that the novel dramatizes the way "docile bodies" are produced by means of technological intervention as well as in relationship with femininity and discipline. They interpret Cinder's cyborg body as standing in for a new form of oppression that may not squash out all agency in the same way as older forms of domination but retranscribes it within technopatriarchal frameworks ("Docile Bodies").

But instead of simply rendering Cinder as an oppressed body, the novel presents Cinder's efficient recirculation and redeployment of affect. Hannah Hultman notes this transition, suggesting that the protagonist of Meyer does not achieve agency through magical metamorphosis, but through mechanic mastery and self-will. "Cinder refuses to wait around any longer for a prince who may never come to her rescue." Hultman writes, "She's ceased to rely on others, separating herself from Perrault's Cinderella. (...) Her metal parts, her heritage no longer defines her; Cinder has broken free of the prejudice, survived the plague, and decided she didn't need the prince" ("The Mechanics of Agency"). This focus on independence and technological prowess highlights the genre's shift away from fairy-tale passivity to self-contained, science-fictional autonomy.

Race, posthumanism, and generic hybridity are similarly intersected issues complicating this novel. As Mirlande D. and Karen P. observe, the racialization of Cinder's cyborg body mirrors conditions of marginalization and oppression within the real world. Making use of Foucault's concepts of "principle of enclosure" they argue that "the discrimination of Cinder's cyborg body constructing outcomes in a number of ways where her identity is considered expendable" ("Racialization of the Cyborg Body"). In a similar fashion, the blog post "The Cyborg Race" positions Cinder within broader discussions around eugenics, purity, and biopolitics as its narrative demonstrates how posthuman identity acts as a weapon to maintain power structures. It is this intersection of multiple levels of identity (gendered, racialized, technological) that turns Cinder into a particularly fruitful site of generic hybridity. As Diana B. and Alessia M. note in their analysis, Meyer resists the princess arc through withholding the transformation and instead is centered on an unstable, developing self that does not need to be perfected as a condition for agency. Moreover, they claim



that Cinder “refuses to participate in heteronormative romance narrative” (“Subverting the Princess Narrative”). This inversion is particularly potent in relation to the book’s sci-fi simulacra, through which dystopian elements, electronic surveillance and corporeal augmentations delineate the constructedness of fairy tale fantasies.

Ultimately, *Cinder*, as Gonzales Jasmin observes in her undergraduate thesis, is an important work to think through the mechanics of genre adaptation within both the YA canon and fairy-tale tradition. Gonzales asserts that Cinder’s cybernetic enhancements do not merely update Cinderella—they completely reframe what it means to be human, female, and heroic in a digital age (Gonzales 10, 13).

Looking at it this way, Meyer’s work can be viewed as a fresh take on familiar fairy tales, combining speculative innovation with well-known components and classical motifs. This paradigm shift speaks to and enacts contemporary fears of embodiment, agency, and visibility by dispelling the formula of fairy-tale romance and magical rescue in the service of self-authored identity. As a result, *Cinder* occupies a sort of liminal space—between genres, between genders, between human and posthuman, between narratively progressive form and culturally regressive desire—where fairy tales are not just retold but also rewritten to make a statement about a world where identity categories are contested, fluid, and technologically intertwined.

### **The Posthuman Cyborg as Fragmented Self**

The novel’s main theme is the protagonist’s reimagining as a posthuman cyborg, an embodiment that defies conventional notions of selfhood and is intrinsically fragmented. Cinder’s inhuman characteristics are what most clearly define her: “36% percent of her body is not human”, including an “artificial leg and arm” (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 208). This radical turning away from a “whole” body is not simply a cutaneous displacement, but indeed an ontological one, as noted by Aliyev and Abed who state, “her cyborg body is one of the main changes in the retelling or rewriting the new ideal woman that Meyer wants to create is the posthuman woman, desexualized with superior strength and knowledge” (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 209).

This representation unmistakably coincides with Donna Haraway’s seminal concept of the cyborg. Haraway posits that “by the late twentieth century, our time, a mythic time, we are all chimeras, theorized and fabricated hybrids of machine and organism; in short, we are cyborgs” (Haraway 150). She views the cyborg as “a condensed image of both imagination and material reality, the two joined centres

structuring any possibility of historical transformation" (150). Cinder's physical reality, a blend of organic and synthetic, embodies this very hybridity. Haraway's "utopic vision of body transcendence" presents the cyborg as a "hybrid of machine and organism, a creature from social reality as well as a creature of fiction" (qtd in Aliyev and Abed 203). Through the cyborg, Haraway seeks to "change the history and structure of society" (Aliyev and Abed 203). And this, in fact, is what Meyer's Cinder embarks upon in the story—a revolution that hopes to invert the existing order of things.

The cyborg, in Haraway's view, "is a creature in a post-gender world" and "has no truck with bisexuality, pre-oedipal symbiosis, unalienated labour, or other seductions to organic wholeness through a final appropriation of all the powers of the parts into a higher unity" (Haraway 150). This aligns with Meyer's portrayal of Cinder as she "desexualizes Cinder's body such that the 'passionate' character of Cinderella becomes transformed in Cinder" (Aliyev and Abed 209). Cinder is described as "incapable of crying or blushing, due to her missing tear ducts" and "brain monitors that prevent her system from overheating" (Aliyev and Abed 209). This desexualization challenges the "exaggerated feminized way" in which the female body is often depicted, as well as the "faulty image of woman for the generations to come" (Aliyev and Abed 198).

Meyer's "posthuman female image" for Cinder is "superior compared to the delicate female image of the traditional Cinderella" (Aliyev and Abed 209). From a patriarchal perspective, this makes Cinder a "monster woman" due to her "active, unfeminine and aggressive female" traits (Aliyev and Abed 210). However, Meyer presents "a balanced image of the female body model" for her adolescent audience, associating Cinder with "technology and being expert in it," an image that defies the "sci-fi female trend of the patriarchy that almost always depicts woman characters as incompatible with technology" (Aliyev and Abed 210). This portrayal of Cinder as an "outcast cyborg and monster" is deliberate, as "monsters are always defying the boundaries in the Western culture" (Aliyev and Abed 210).

The concept of the posthuman body as an "amalgam, a collection of heterogeneous components, a material-informational entity" (Hayles 3) is vividly brought to life in Cinder. N. Katherine Hayles' work underscores how information no longer has a body, resulting in identity becoming disembodied from matter, and how the "historically specific construction called the human is giving way to a different construction called the posthuman" (Hayles 2). Cinder is situated right at the centre

of this posthuman evolutionary trend. Ferne Merrylees notes that "the posthuman in these novels is an expansion of the socially constructed human form into the next evolution of a hybrid being, not only becoming but belonging to something more" (76).

This form of hybridity, nevertheless, does not exist in social vacuum in the text. Cinder faces significant prejudice and "technophobia". The baker, Chang Sacha, "reproves her children for playing next to a cyborg's stall, [meeting] Cinder's gaze before [knotting] her lips and dragging her son away while Cinder mutters, 'It's not like wires are contagious'" (Meyer qtd in Insenga 57). Her adoptive stepmother, Adri, also "degrade[s] Cinder and her kind, becoming bellwethers of the empire's hostile attitudes toward posthumans in their midst" (Insenga 59). Cinder's physical modifications are seen as "less valuable than their fully organic fleshy counterparts" (Insenga 57), reinforcing a "discriminatory ethic of care that forces cyborgs to submit to medical trials" (Insenga 60). This social prejudice reflects the genuine fears people hold about biotechnological developments and the potential for a "new social hierarchy resulting in prolonged, global strife" (Fukuyama qtd in Insenga 58).

Even though she is an outcast/"Other"/"freak", Cinder's body image issues and conflicting identity are portrayed as universal adolescent concerns. Ferne Merrylees notes, "[f]or young adults negotiating the transition from childhood to adulthood, identity is constantly being reevaluated in terms of how they are perceived, how they perceive themselves, and how they wish to be perceived by others" (75). Cinder's struggle with and eventual acceptance of, her dual body, as Merrylees notes, is key for furthering representation and re-imagining of body image and embodiment within YA literature. This undermines the conventional idea of a stable, unified self as something "emergent rather than given, distributed rather than located solely in consciousness, emerging from and integrated into a chaotic world rather than occupying a position of mastery and control removed from it" (Hayles 291).

### **A Short Note on Autofictional Identity**

Theoretical use of autofictional identity delves deeply into the symbiotic relationship between memory, the origin of self, and the actual process of self-creation, frequently rendering the distinction between what is "real" and what is "invented" (Effe and Lawlor 1). All

these levels of complexity erode the idea of a single, stable self and redefine identity as a dynamic, non-unitary and fragmented construction (Allamand 52).

The way autofiction handles memory is one of the main ways it undermines a stable identity. Autofiction uses memory as a creative, even unreliable, narrative tool that is essential to defining the malleable boundaries of the self, rather than as a neutral repository of past experience.

Autofiction consistently highlights the fallibility and constructed nature of memory, positioning it not as a passive record of the past, but as an active process of imaginative re-creation (Effe and Lawlor 25-26, 35). This viewpoint reveals that "even the order in which facts are presented creates somewhat fictional relations" (Effe and Lawlor 26), thereby undermining the autobiographical claim to absolute truth.

Traditional theories of autobiography are both resonant and complicated by this emphasis on memory as an imaginative act. A framework for comprehending the autobiographical project is provided by Philippe Lejeune's seminal work, but autofiction purposefully goes beyond his model by embracing the fictional elements present in personal narrative.

Philippe Lejeune's idea of autobiography, rooted in a "retrospective prose narrative of someone's own existence" (qtd in Effe and Lawlor 92), is complicated by autofiction's engagement with memory. While Lejeune noted that the "Autobiographical Pact" emphasizes how identity can be "conveyed to, and conceived by, readers" rather than implying a "hypothetical subjective unity", autofiction takes this a step further by deliberately showcasing memory's plasticity (qtd in Allamand 52). For instance, Johann Wolfgang von Goethe's autobiography, *Dichtung und Wahrheit* (Poetry and Truth), foregrounds this blurring, with "Dichtung" (poetry/fiction) being understood as the "driving force of truth" (Effe and Lawlor 26, 24). Goethe even "invented love affairs for his younger years that did not actually take place" and changed the chronological order of events to create a "more rounded narrative," arguing that "poetry and fiction [are] more adequate producers of autobiographical truth than mere facts could be" (Effe and Lawlor 25). The autofictional mode is characterized by this creative manipulation of memory for the purpose of a more profound "truth."

This fictionality has ramifications that go beyond memory to the self's fundamental makeup. Autofiction delves deeply into the idea of origins, questioning and fragmenting it rather than confirming a

fundamental truth. Thus, the genre is in line with more general philosophical issues regarding identity discontinuity, multiplicity, and hybridity.

Autofiction inherently grapples with the question of origins and the self as a constructed, often hybrid, entity, challenging the notion of a fixed, unitary identity (Effe and Lawlor 1). There is a significant parallel between autofiction's fragmentation of the self and posthuman theory, especially in N. Katherine Hayles's writings. Her explanation of networked subjectivity and distributed cognition offers a convincing framework for comprehending how identity frequently arises from collective, technological, and external forces in autofiction and contemporary literature more generally.

Particularly pertinent in this context is N. Katherine Hayles's work on the posthuman topic, which contends that the posthuman challenges the conventional liberal humanist self, which is viewed as a "sole proprietor over one's own person." The posthuman posits a "distributed cognition located in disparate parts that may be in only tenuous communication with one another" (qtd. in Hervey 29-30). This networked subjectivity is extensively explored in young adult literature. For instance, in *The Unwritten*, the protagonist Tom Taylor discovers that his very "biology is literally composed of words" and that he is "made up of the collective unconsciousness of his father's fandom" (Hervey 42, 40). This portrays identity not as an internal essence but as a "cultural composite," largely "informed by the pervasive technologies that inscribe the self" (Hervey 29). The novel dramatically illustrates the "anxiety that there are no firm foundations" for reality or self, with a monster character stating, "It is frightening to think of the world as having no firm foundations. Frightening to meet one's maker" (Hervey 42). This suggests that "we are our own makers," yet this is undermined by the power of the "collective unconscious" (Hervey 42).

Autofiction questions the process of identity formation through narrative itself, even as these theoretical models explain how identity is formed. This type of writing is performative rather than merely representational; it becomes a proactive tool for creating and changing the self, particularly in opposition to ideas such as the "death of the author." Autofiction goes beyond simple representation to actively participate in self-authorship as a self-creation process, in which writing itself becomes a tool for self-transformation (Effe and Lawlor 71). The conventional "death of the author" theory contrasts with this, as autofiction "rebuttal[s] the death of the author" by overtly reinscribing the authorial presence, albeit a fragmented or hybridized

one (Effe and Lawlor 102, 183).

Despite being fundamental, Lejeune's idea of the autobiographical pact becomes more flexible in the context of autofiction. Autofiction both upholds and undermines the agreement, blurring the lines between reality and fiction to make room for creative exploration and continuous self-building. The "autobiographical pact," where "author, narrator, and character share the same name" (Effe and Lawlor 42-43), is central to Lejeune's definition, but autofiction paradoxically "subscrib[es] at the same time and contradictorily to the autobiographical pact and the novelistic pact, perhaps in order to abolish their limits or limitations" (qtd. in Effe and Lawlor 32). This "oscillation between fictionality and factuality" (Effe and Lawlor 32) is key to its operation. For authors, this "act of autofictionalization" allows for "creative, explorative thinking in the pursuit of self-understanding, self-performance and self-creation" (Effe and Lawlor 66).

### **Autofictional Parallels in Cinder: Memory, Origins, and Self-Authorship**

While Cinder is ostensibly a science fiction novel, its narrative structure and character development subtly align with key tenets of autofiction, particularly in its exploration of fragmented memory, ambiguous origins, and the protagonist's journey toward self-authorship.

A significant parallel lies in the autofictional emphasis on the "fragmentation of the self" and the "incompleteness that is characteristic of autofictional projects" (Effe and Lawlor 9). Serge Doubrovsky, who coined the term "autofiction," posited that it "doesn't perceive someone's life to be a whole. It is only concerned with separate fragments, with broken up chunks of existence, and a divided subject who doesn't coincide with him or herself" (qtd. in Effe and Lawlor 102). This strikes a deep chord with Cinder's situation. As a direct result of her cybernetic operation, she had no recollection of her early years before the age of eleven. Later on, she learns that her entire "childhood" and "parents" were part of "a made-up history. A made-up girl" (Meyer, 118). The autofictional investigation of self-narration as a construct is reflected directly in this "made-up history," where even "if the events and facts recounted are 'strictly real,' the 'adventure' of language produces a fiction" (Doubrovsky, qtd. in Effe and Lawlor 41). The idea that Cinder's past is a fabrication, a narrative imposed upon her, forces her, and the reader, to confront the constructed nature of identity and memory. Ferne Merrylees notes that Cinder "has no memories from

before she was eleven due to her cybernetic operation" and, like Pressia in *Pure*, "dislikes the idea of someone getting inside her head and making changes over which she herself has no control" (84-85).

The revelation of Cinder's true identity as Princess Selene, a Lunar "shell" who is immune to the deadly virus and possesses dormant glamour abilities (Merrylees 84, Insenga 63, 67), further complicates her sense of self. This moment is not merely a plot twist but a dramatization of how "autobiographical truth is not a fixed but an evolving content in an intricate process of self-discovery and self-creation" (Eakin qtd. in Effe and Lawlor 215). Cinder's multiple identities – cyborg, mechanic, fugitive, Lunar, Princess Selene – embody the "non-linear conception of the self" that characterizes autofictional writing (Menn and Schuh qtd in Effe and Lawlor 102). She grapples with these "heterogeneous selves while gazing into a portscreen at her reflection" (Insenga 63), an act forbidden to Lunars, highlighting her internal struggle with her fragmented identity. The phrase "Lunar. And cyborg. And a fugitive" (Meyer, 127) encapsulates this multiplicity, underscoring the layered and evolving nature of her identity. This "multifaceted identity" is claimed publicly by Cinder, challenging the notion of a singular, fixed self (Insenga 66).

Earlier in the text, a more subtle yet equally revelatory moment of autofictional resonance appears during Cinder's initial encounter with Prince Kai at the market. Cinder is scrambling to keep her identity as a cyborg secret even before Kai suddenly shows up in her stall:

She covered her steel hand first, and though her right palm began to sweat immediately inside the thick material, she felt more comfortable with the gloves on, hiding the plating of her left hand. (Meyer 10).

This form of performative concealment provides a case in point of the sort of narrative self-fashioning typical of autofiction in which the subject simultaneously reveals and masks itself, for reasons of self-protection and narrative control. As Effe and Lawlor point out, autofictional subjects present the audience with a new self-narrative, and, thus, "[t]ruthfulness in the self-portrait becomes negotiable due to the multiple, differing, and at times contradictory portrayals of the self" (162).

Cinder's decision to hide her prosthesis isn't simply embarrassment, it's an act of self-narration, a form of authority over what her identity shows to others. Her hybrid form, both human and machine, has not yet become an empowering one so much as a negotiated one, the product of social outcast and internalized stigma. This duality reflects, again, Hayles's notion that "the body is the

original prosthesis we all learn to manipulate” (3); in this case, the manipulation is both physical and narrative. In covering up her technological componentry, Cinder tries to project an identity that is legible within, for lack of a better term, humanist hegemony, however fleeting or unstable that may prove to be. This performative act thus concretely underscores autofiction’s thematic priority of identity as no more lived than actively authored in real time.

Cinder knows exactly that everyone around her despises her for being different.

She never broadcast the reason for her talent. The fewer people who knew she was cyborg, the better. She was sure she’d go mad if all the market shopkeepers looked at her with the same disdain as Chang Sacha did.” (Meyer 14)

Cinder is ashamed of her difference; she fears that if everyone found out, no one would treat her as a human being. She hides it even from Prince Kai—she doesn’t believe he could love her for who she truly is. Several people in the novel’s world don’t consider Cinder human and look at her with hatred and contempt, especially her guardian, Adri, who embodies the archetypal wicked stepmother from fairy tales. In Adri’s eyes, Cinder is nothing but a useless burden—a slave she keeps in her home out of reluctant mercy, whose only value lies in her ability to earn money for the family through her mechanic work, so that Adri and her daughters don’t have to work.

The contempt she feels toward Cinder becomes apparent on several occasions, especially in the scene where she refuses to let Cinder attend the ball. She mocks her and even suggests selling her off for parts along with Iko, the household android (“Perhaps I will have to sell both of you off as spare parts” (Meyer 182)), then tells her: “It will be a miracle if you can find something suitable to wear that will hide your”—her gaze dropped to Cinder’s boots—“eccentricities. But, yes. If you fix the hover, I suppose you can go to the ball” (22). Later, she cruelly confronts her: “You are not part of this family. You aren’t even human anymore” (Meyer 182).

Adri’s mockery, and the constant need to hide her difference from unwanted gazes, severely undermines Cinder’s self-confidence. She does not believe she is worthy or that Kai could ever love her for who she truly is. She does not see her own body as a beautiful female body, but rather as a repulsive mechanism—something that could evoke disgust in others, and she assumes in Kai as well. The cybernetic nature of her body robs her of her belief in her own femininity.



If Cinder's body had ever been predisposed to femininity, it had been ruined by whatever the surgeons had done to her, leaving her with a stick-straight figure. Too angular. Too boyish. Too awkward with her heavy artificial leg. (Meyer 28)

Later, when it becomes clear that she and Kai have strong feelings for each other, Cinder is constantly terrified that Prince Kai will find out she's a cyborg—and hate her because of it. This is how she thinks about herself: “A girl. A machine. A freak.” (Meyer 86)

While she thinks of her own body with disgust and considers it monstrous, she imagines what it would be like to be a real human.

Then the prince reached for her hand—her cyborg hand.

Cinder tensed, terrified that he would feel the hard metal, even through her gloves, and yet even more afraid to pull away lest he find it suspicious. She mentally urged the robotic limb to go soft, to be pliant, to be human, as she watched Kai lift the hand and kiss the back of it. She held her breath, overwhelmed and embarrassed. (Meyer 109)

Cinder's moments of reflection and self-awareness are particularly illustrative of autofiction's concern for narrative instability and self-formation. The revulsion she feels toward her own monstrosity—her mechanical body—is only intensified by the discovery in Dr. Erland's lab that she is, in fact, a Lunar, someone capable of manipulating innocent people. Lunars are seen as ancient enemies by people on Earth, and Queen Levana, the Empress of the Moon also wants to kill her. Cinder's first reaction is to deny that she could be Lunar. She, again, oscillates between alienation and fragmentation.

“I'm not Lunar.” She wrenched her glove off and waved her hand at him. “I'm cyborg. You don't think that's bad enough?” (Meyer 117)

Later, when she reflects on this, she becomes even more desperate:

To be cyborg and Lunar. One was enough to make her a mutant, an outcast, but to be both? She shuddered. Lunars were a cruel, savage people. They murdered their shell children. They lied and scammed and brainwashed each other because they could. They didn't care who they hurt, so long as it benefitted themselves. She was not one of them. (Meyer 118)

What this passage divulges is that, for Cinder, the concept of humanity—or what it means to be human—is not primarily about being a cyborg, but about the kind of person one is: how one behaves, and what kind of life one chooses to lead. For her, the true source of

monstrosity lies in the cruelty of the Lunars, which she sees as the real threat to human existence. And yet, if she wants to save the world, she must accept her new identity—that she is the lost Princess Selene—and embrace the abilities that come with being Lunar. Her first reaction, however, is denial: “No. I can’t. I can’t be a queen or a princess or—I’m nobody. I’m a cyborg!” (Meyer 248)

And it’s this billowing of identity here—princess, Lunar, cyborg, mechanic—that emphasizes the disconfirmation of story that’s mapped onto her and the truth of her own self within. This fragmented catalog of identities mirrors the process by which autofiction figures the self as a patchwork of incompatible selves, refusing long-term rehabilitation. As Effe and Lawlor write, autofiction “doesn’t perceive someone’s life to be a whole. It is only concerned with separate fragments” (102). Cinder’s disbelief—“I’m nobody. I’m a cyborg!”—indicates not only her refusal of external identification but also an understanding of truth as both constructed and conditional. Her subjective identity has no fixed point of origin or final determination; rather, it is compelled to be perpetually re-narrated and negotiated. For if in this area she is to deny—“She can’t be a princess”—that denial is not simply that of fact but, affirmatively, the ideological freight that attends such a role.

Cinder’s emerging narrative builds truth through selective emphasis, imaginative synthesis, and emotional resonance, not through empirical precision, just like Goethe’s *Dichtung und Wahrheit* (Effe and Lawlor 24–26). The elevator scene, where she falls in love with Kai, becomes a turning point in the story where the main character’s identity is caught between what she is told and what she can confidently say is hers. This is similar to the autofictional project of unstable but self-authored truth.

After Cinder is publicly humiliated at the royal ball, there is another important moment that shows how autofictional narrative self-invention works. Cinder is put in the palace dungeon after fighting back against Queen Levana’s control and being physically hurt and exposed. In this rare moment of peace and quiet, she starts to think about her own power:

A tingle passed down her spine. A strange new electricity was thrumming beneath her skin, telling her she wasn’t just a cyborg anymore. She was Lunar now. She could make people see things that weren’t there. Feel things they shouldn’t feel. Do things they didn’t mean to do.

She could be anyone. Become anyone.

The thought both sickened and frightened her, but the resolve made

her calm again. (Meyer 250)

This internal monologue shows a change from reactive to authorial subjectivity. Cinder is no longer the subject of other people's stories; she is now in charge of her own story. This change recalls Meretoja's idea that autofictional stories help people foster "metanarrative awareness," where characters consciously rewrite inherited templates (qtd. in Effe and Lawlor 10). The fairytale scaffold, "Cinderella at the Ball," isn't thrown away; instead, it's changed into a story of resistance and political defiance. This act of self-authorship is important because it comes after public exposure and physical fragmentation. Cinder is literally "in pieces" (her metal foot is missing and her leg is hurt), but it is in this broken state that she takes back control of the story.

As Hayles argues, posthuman identity "emerges from and is integrated into a chaotic world," not from mastery or control (291). Likewise, autofiction honors the ability to write through fragmentation rather than coherence. Cinder's declaration—"She could be anyone. Become anyone"—is a metafictional rejection of deterministic genre roles as much as it is a protest against political oppression. Because of her technological hybridity, rather than in spite of it, her story turns into an autofictional act in which agency is reclaimed through the storytelling process itself.

Thus, Cinder's journey is one of profound self-authorship and agency. Unlike the traditional Cinderella, who is passive and waits for rescue, Cinder displays an "active and independent persona [that] prompts her quest to uncover her lost identity" (Aliyev and Abed 209). Her decision to rebel after learning the truth from Dr. Erland, and her proactive efforts to attend the ball and expose Queen Levana, signify a conscious act of shaping her own destiny (Aliyev and Abed 209). This self-driven transformation from a "passive Cinderella" to a "champion freedom fighter" (Aliyev and Abed 208) is a core element of Meyer's revisionary project.

This aligns with the affordances of autofiction as a literary strategy. As Hanna Meretoja explains, "metanarrative autofiction" offers "new perspectives on, and has the potential to heighten, the collective narrative agency of readers and writers" (qtd in Effe and Lawlor 10). Such texts critically engage with "cultural narrative templates and their role in how we make sense of our lives" (qtd in Effe 10). Cinder's narrative can be seen as her actively challenging the "master-narratives" of female passivity and pre-ordained destiny, both from the fairy tale and the oppressive Lunar regime. Autofiction, as a creative space, allows authors to "situate her life-long struggles within

a fictionalized context that moves away from a strict autobiographical frame" (Effe and Lawlor 215). Similarly, Cinder's fictional journey allows Meyer to explore universal struggles of identity and agency within a technologically mediated world. This process makes visible the interplay of fact and fiction, prompting a "heightened awareness of the stakes of both fiction and truth-telling" (Effe and Lawlor 56).

Moreover, the novel's serial nature within *The Lunar Chronicles* series reinforces this autofictional sensibility of an "unstable subjectivity" and "discontinuous, non-linear, contingent, and multifaceted sense of self" (Effe and Lawlor 9, 102). Ricarda Menn and Melissa Schuh argue that serial literary works pay "more attention to the incompleteness that is characteristic of autofictional projects" and invite us to "consider an author's entire oeuvre or a series of works as a dynamic site of self-expression and as an autofictional act" (qtd in Effe and Lawlor 9). Cinder's journey in the following books (*Scarlet*, *Cress*, *Winter*) represents a process of self-fashioning and growing, and is symptomatic of a self that is "unfinished, contingent, and subject to revision" (Effe and Lawlor 104). While physically represented as a cyborg, and with an identity in constant transformation from mechanic to princess, she represents a "shifting screw" which adds up to identity-in-the-making, reflecting the autofictional "oscillation between fictionality and factuality" and the never-ending self-fashioning of the self (Effe and Lawlor 7).

Taken together, these scenes chart Cinder's development from a passive recipient of others' stories to an active—but also fragmented—author of her own story. Her hiding of her own mechanic hand in the marketplace, wrestling with multiple, conflicting labels in the palace laboratory, or lashing out and taking control in the aftermath of public exposure work according to the logic of autofictional self-fashioning. Through her cyborg body she is both metaphor and medium: an isthmus on which technological augmentation and social expectation and narrative authorship meet. Instead of fitting into a static role—princess, mechanic, test subject, girl—Cinder rewrites and remixes these narrative identities as she goes.

Much like autofictional protagonists, she shifts between performance and authenticity, fragmentation and coherence, memory and invention. In the process, Cinder not only destabilizes the fixity of identity, but also highlights the inherently narrative, always rewritten nature of selfhood in a mediated culture.

## Digital Embodiment and Contemporary Anxieties

The cyberpunk setting of *Cinder* and its depiction of a protagonist linked to technology functions as a metaphorical response to current worries about digital embodiment, agency, and authorship in an age when everything and everyone seem to be hyper-connected. Shannon Hervey notes the "pervasiveness of the internet and social network culture" has complicated adolescence, raising "anxieties and concerns that add to and sometimes exacerbate the age-old difficulties of growing up," often "pivot[ing] around self-representation and social projection of self" (Hervey 27). Indeed, *Cinder* isn't explicitly about social media, but its central concerns — with information and control, with machine dominance and human vulnerability — directly address these digital anxieties.

The novel depicts a society where cyborgs are "commodities rather than people" (Merrylees 77), subjected to forced medical trials (Insenga 60). This commodification of the body, reminiscent of concerns about self-commodification in digital spaces, underscores the precariousness of agency in a technologically advanced society (Hervey 31, 34). As Diane P. Michelfelder points out, "social networking and participating in online communities depends on a process of self-commodification" (qtd in Hervey 31). In *Cinder*, the state (New Beijing) and people like Adri (Cinder's stepmother) treat Cinder's cyborg body as property, something to be exploited or controlled, rather than an autonomous entity (Insenga 59-60).

However, unlike many dystopian narratives that present technology as solely "disempowering" (Hervey 30) or "an insidious obstacle to self-actualization" (Hervey 28), *Cinder* offers a more nuanced view. Though it recognizes the dangers of technocracy, and the discrimination faced by hybrid bodies, it depicts technology as something which can provide agency and strength, something which is key to Cinder's resilience. Cinder's cybernetic parts provide her with enhanced abilities, such as detecting lies and downloading information (Merrylees 85, 77). As Ferne Merrylees states, "Cinder's body gives her the tools to help her navigate her class-divided society, protects her from Queen Levana's mind control, and monitors her systems when she is placed in stressful situations" (86).

A striking moment that illustrates the tension between Cinder's digital embodiment and her social visibility occurs early in the novel, when she does her best to remove her mechanical foot so that she can work on it. The narration reads: "She hated the pincer tool, hated the wires and tubes, hated that her foot had been slipping lately. Hated that

she knew how to fix it. Hated that it was a part of her” (Meyer 8). This passage exemplifies the deeply conflicted body: Cinder is mechanically inclined, but her comfort with her prosthetics causes her self-hatred, not empowerment. Her resistance to the devices that enable her to get around is motivated by social embarrassment, not physical discomfort. The last clause — “hated that it was a part of her” — takes it in a different direction; she’s not disgusted by her cyborg malfunctioning, she’s disgusted by internalized stigma around tech difference. This corresponds to Hayles’s claim that embodiment is never neutral: it is culturally coded, and frequently causes anxiety when the body does not satisfy humanist requirements of oneness and naturalness (Hayles 48).

Here, Cinder’s cyborgness becomes the source of an alienation not merely from others, but from herself—a separation mirroring (if not surpassing) current real-world anxieties about bodily mediation, digital enhancement, and the decay of a “natural” self.

Later, we see this taking place when doctors in the medical trials were running tests on cyborgs to test the antidote to Letumosis and the holoscreen made Cinder’s internal systems visible to those in the medical facility:

Her retina display informed her that she was now connected to RATIO DETECTOR 2.3. SCANNING...2%...7%...16%... The machine hummed on the table behind her. Cinder imagined a subtle current of electricity slipping along her wires. She felt it most where the skin joined with metal, a tingle where the blood had been cut off. 63%... (Meyer 56)

That she is hunted — reduced to data for her physical and mental states, deprived of selfhood — also suggests anxieties about what in digital culture is called the “quantified selfhood”. As Hervey comments, teen subjectivity is currently characterized by this conflict between an authentic self and the pressure to perform a highly curated, digital self (Hervey 27). The way Cinder’s fear turns into a “spike” revealing her “life stats” on the netscreen rather than a sensation is another nice example of the flattening of interiority in techno-surveillance systems. And yet this hyper-digital readability doesn’t make her feel shallow — it only makes her feel shrewd. She becomes a prototype of a character who simultaneously exists within data and in resistance to it. This moment is a literalization of the breakdown of boundaries between interior and embodied experience and the external technological apprehension of phenomena, reflecting how, as Hayles argues, “information cannot exist apart from the embodiment that gives rise to it” (Hayles 48). Cinder’s fear, in code, reterritorializes feeling as a

dimension of digital embodiment, not its banishment.

Shortly after this scene, Cinder is truly shocked when she sees the holographic projection of her own body on the screen.

It was as if someone had chopped her down the middle, dividing her front half from her back half, and then put her cartoonish image into a medical textbook. Her heart, her brain, her intestines, her muscles, her blue veins. Her control panel, her synthetic hand and leg, wires that trailed from the base of her skull all the way down her spine and out to her prosthetic limbs. The scar tissue where flesh met metal. A small dark square in her wrist—her ID chip. (...)

She had not known about the metal vertebrae along her spine, or the four metal ribs, or the synthetic tissue around her heart, or the metal splints along the bones in her right leg. (Meyer 57)

The holographic image of her own body intensifies her horror at her cyborg form and further confuses her about who she really is. The fragmented body—assembled from various parts—also functions as a kind of narrative foreshadowing: it anticipates everything Cinder is about to discover about herself, her past, and her ability to reconstruct her identity. Although the holographic image of her cybernetic body is initially shocking, Dr. Erland refers to it as a masterpiece—a sign of love from someone who protected her and saved her life (by transforming her into a cyborg) while keeping her true identity hidden. The horror evoked by the fusion of human and machine gradually gives way to self-confidence: Cinder slowly begins to accept her body just as she begins to accept her past—who she truly is and what her future role might be. This positive portrayal of technological enhancement aligns with a perspective that "the full expression of human capability can be seen precisely to depend on the splice rather than being imperiled by it" (Hayles 290).

The novel's exploration of the "disappearing divide between the virtual and the material" (Hervey 33) is evident in Cinder's very being. Her biological and mechanical components are so deeply intertwined that they form a single, functioning entity. This resonates with Hayles' assertion that "human identity is essentially an informational pattern rather than an embodied enaction" (Hayles xi). The distinction between Cinder's "human" and "cyborg" eyes when she sees electricity sizzling across her metal hand (Insenga 66) illustrates this blurred boundary, hinting that "we have always been posthuman" (Hayles 291). Cinder's existence challenges the traditional "human/nonhuman binary" (White 138), making her an "assemblage, co-evolving with other forms of life, enmeshed with the environment and technology"

(Nayar qtd in Chen 182).

Cinder's story, of moving from being despised as "a thing" (Insenga 59) to taking pride in her identity, begins the process of self-authorship in a technologically saturated world. Her story provides a rhetorical lens through which we're able to see how identity is forged and remade in digital settings that are marked by fluid and contested self-representation. She constantly narrates herself into power, anchoring her difference as a hybrid in front of her, rather than a thing to be hidden or—it should go without saying—ashamed. This aligns with Haraway's concept of "cyborg writing" as being "about the power to survive... by seizing the tools to mark the world that marked them as other" (Haraway qtd in Merrylees 92). Cinder, by embracing her Otherness, transforms it into strength, offering a hopeful model for adolescent readers contending with their own evolving selfhoods in a complex, digital age. (Merrylees 92-93) The novel, therefore, becomes a space for readers to "explore not only body image and identity creation, but how humans fit within the various and complex systems that make up our posthuman world" (Merrylees 93).

## **Conclusion**

Marissa Meyer's book, *Cinder*, combines elements of young adult sci-fi and fairy tales to explore deep questions about identity. The main character, Cinder, is a unique blend of human and cyborg, a fractured posthuman, whose complex (human and inhuman) nature reflects the challenges we face in understanding ourselves in a world heavily influenced by technology. Her hybrid body, mysterious beginnings and journey of self-invention echo the instability and ongoing construction that is part of autobiographical narratives.

Also, Meyer cleverly uses Cinder's story to highlight the shift of gender roles we find in traditional fairy tales, while also connecting to modern ideas about what it means to be human in today's world. Making use of theorizations of posthuman identity in the vein of Donna Haraway, we can safely assert that Cinder's physical differences challenge the idea of a perfect female figure, demonstrating how her cyborg features can actually empower her. Her cyborg augmentations recall Haraway's vision of the cyborg as an empowering chimera capable of overcoming social constraints and biased politics, while Cinder's physical dismemberment and desexualization actively subvert socially constructed notions of the ideal female form. Because of her cyborg aspects, Cinder's "Otherness" reflects a broader adolescent quest for identity and belonging and compels young readers to



understand and relate to a number of theoretical abstractions.

Additionally, Cinder activates autofictional elements with Cinder's "made-up history" (Meyer 118) and the eventual discovery of her real lineage. This instability of the narrative reflects the uncertainty, the fluidity of the autobiographical truth as well as the ceaseless act of self-invention, rather than the discovery of a coherent and consistent self, that is, a fixed identity. The way Cinder actively pursues her own agency and the fact that she is engaged in a "self-writing" process in the face of external manipulation is proof of the kind of self-invention autofiction foregrounds. This process transforms her from being a passive female fairytale archetype to a proactive actor in her own right, able to implement change in her own destiny and defy systems of oppression.

In the end, Cinder positions itself as a complex interplay of genre hybridity and identity production: posthuman embodiment, autofictional taxonomies, digital subjectivities all come together, serving as the meeting point of these convergences. Utilizing Hayles's concept of the posthuman as a "material-information entity" (3), I claim that the novel visualizes Cinder's body in such a way as it becomes both a locus of oppression and a potential space for hope -an interface where the self is recovered. The same goes for Braidotti's nomadic subjectivity, echoing in Cinder's refusal to be one definitive identity only, and her movements through the roles of mechanic, princess, cyborg, Lunar — heightens the narrative process of autofictive self-building. Instead of returning to a stable self or resolving the tensions of her hybrid status, Cinder becomes a figure whose identity is socially contested and emotionally inscribed. The novel thus prefigures today's concerns about technological mediation while also providing an affirmative redefinition of what it is to be human in a networked world.

## Works Cited

- ALIYEV, Javid and Rasheed Ghassan Abed. "Cinderella Goes Cyborg: Post-human Re-Imagining of Fairy Tale in Marissa Meyer's Cinder." *International Journal of Languages' Education and Teaching*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2020, pp. 198-213.
- ALLAMAND, Carol. "The Autobiographical Pact, Forty-Five Years Later." *The European Journal of Life Writing*, vol. 7, 2008, pp. 51-56
- BENTON, Brianna and Hailey L. "The Cyborg Race: Ethnic Discrimination in Marissa Meyer's Cinder (Hailey L. and Brianna B.)." *Girls on Fire: Constructions of Girlhood in YA Dystopian Fiction*, 1 Nov. 2016,

girlhoodinyadystopianfiction.wordpress.com/2016/11/01/the-cyborg-race.

BRAIDOTTI, Rosi. "A Theoretical Framework for the Critical Posthumanities." *Theory, Culture & Society*, vol. 0, no. 0, 2018, pp. 1–31. SAGE Publications, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276418771486>.

CHEN, Phoebe. "Posthuman Potential and Ecological Limit in Future Worlds." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 179–198.

DUNCAN, Jessica, and Mel R. "Docile Bodies: Femininity and the Cyborg Body in Marissa Meyer's *Cinder*." *Girlhood in YA Dystopian Fiction*, 9 Nov. 2016, <https://girlhoodinyadystopianfiction.wordpress.com/2016/11/09/docile-bodies-femininity-and-the-cyborg-body-in-marissa-meyers-cinder-jessica-d-and-mel-r/>.

D., MIRLANDE, and Karen P. "Racialization of the Cyborg Body in Marissa Meyer's *Cinder*." *Girlhood in YA Dystopian Fiction*, 3 Nov. 2016, <https://girlhoodinyadystopianfiction.wordpress.com/2016/11/03/racialization-of-the-cyborg-body-in-marissa-meyers-cinder-mirlande-d-and-karen-p/>.

EFFE, Alexandra and Hannie Lawlor. "Introduction: From Autofiction to the Autofictional." *The Autofictional*, edited by Alexandra Effe and Hannie Lawlor, Palgrave Macmillan, 2022, pp. 1-17.

GONZALEZ Caban, Jasmin. "The Hybridity of Humanity: Posthumanism Within Marissa Meyer's the *Lunar Chronicles*." *Niner Commons - UNC Charlotte* - J. Murrey Atkins Library, 2019, [ninercommons.charlotte.edu/record/1724?v=pdf](http://ninercommons.charlotte.edu/record/1724?v=pdf).

HARAWAY, Donna. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late Twentieth Century." *Simians, Cyborgs and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*, Routledge, 1991, pp. 149-81.

HAYLES, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. University of Chicago Press, 1999.

HERVEY, Shannon. "Information Disembodiment Takeover: Anxieties of Technological Determinism in Contemporary Coming-of-Age Narratives." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 27–53.

HULTMAN, Hannah. "The Mechanics of Agency in *Cinder*." *Fairy Tales Reimagined*, University of Mississippi, 2015, <https://olemissfairytale2015.weebly.com/the-mechanics-of-agency-in-cinder-by-hannah-hultman.html>.

INSENGA, Angela S. "Once upon a Cyborg: *Cinder* as Posthuman Fairytale." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 55–73.

JAQUES, Zoe. *Children's Literature and the Posthuman: Animal, Environment, Cyborg*. Routledge, 2015.

M., DIANA B., and Alessia. "Subverting the Princess Narrative in Marissa

Meyer's Cinder." *Girlhood in YA Dystopian Fiction*, 10 Nov. 2016, <https://girlhoodinyadystopianfiction.wordpress.com/2016/11/10/subverting-the-princess-narrative-in-marissa-meyers-cinder-by-diana-b-and-alessia-m/>.

MENN, Ricarda and Melissa Schuh. "The Autofictional in Serial, Literary Works." *The Autofictional*, edited by Alexandra Effe and Hannie Lawlor, Palgrave Macmillan, 2022, pp. 101-18.

MERETOJA, Hanna. "Metanarrative Autofiction: Critical Engagement with Cultural Narrative Models." *The Autofictional*, edited by Alexandra Effe and Hannie Lawlor, Palgrave Macmillan, 2022, pp. 121-40.

MERRYLEES, Ferne. "The Adolescent Posthuman: Reimagining Body Image and Identity in Marissa Meyer's Cinder and Julianna Baggott's Pure." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 75-96.

MEYER, Marissa. *Cinder*. Feiweil & Friends, 2012.

PHILLIPS, Leah. *Reframing Myths of Adolescent Girlhood*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2023.

TARR, Anita. "China Miéville's Young Adult Novels: Posthumanist Assemblages." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 247-272.

TARR, Anita, and Donna R. White, editors. *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*. University Press of Mississippi, 2018.

"The Cyborg Race." *Girlhood in YA Dystopian Fiction*, 1 Nov. 2016, <https://girlhoodinyadystopianfiction.wordpress.com/2016/11/01/the-cyborg-race/>.

WHITE, Donna R. "Posthumanism in *The House of the Scorpion* and *The Lord of Opium*." *Posthumanism in Young Adult Fiction: Finding Humanity in a Posthuman World*, edited by Anita Tarr and Donna R. White, University Press of Mississippi, 2018, pp. 135-158.