





Peer-Review Form

Reviewer's Name: (please fill in)
Title of the Paper: (please fill in)
Date: (please fill in)
1. GENERAL VALUE AND CONTRIBUTION
\square Innovative contribution (offers new insights, approaches, or findings)
\square Valuable addition to existing scholarship (solid and well-argued)
□ Confirms/affirms existing knowledge (reliable but not original)
☐ Of questionable value (please justify below)
Comments: Does the paper advance the field? What is its key contribution?
2. TITLE AND SUBTITLES
☐ Clear, accurate, and reflective of the content
□ Partly appropriate, some adjustments needed
☐ Inaccurate or misleading (please suggest alternatives below)







Comments:
3. ABSTRACT (INCLUDING TRANSLATION)
\square Clear, concise, accurately summarizes the paper
□ Too short
\square Too long
\Box Does not reflect the essence of the paper
☐ Requires significant revision
Comments:
4. INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH QUESTION
☐ Provides sufficient background and context
\square Research question / aim is clearly stated and justified
□ Introduction lacks clarity or is too general







Comments:
5. RESEARCH METHODS / APPROACH
$\hfill\Box$ Clearly described and appropriate for the study's aim
☐ Partly appropriate / some weaknesses
□ Inadequately described or unsuitable
Comments:
6. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS
☐ Clear, logical, and well supported by evidence
☐ Generally sound, but some parts need clarification
☐ Weak or confused interpretation
Comments:







7. ILLUSTRATIONS / FIGURES / TABLES (IF APPLICABLE)
☐ Adequate in number, relevance, and quality
□ Partly adequate; some improvements needed
☐ Inadequate or unnecessary
Comments:
O CONTRE CONDITIONINE AND OF A DIME
8. STYLE, STRUCTURE, AND CLARITY
☐ Well structured and clearly written
$\hfill\Box$ Acceptable, but some reorganisation or language editing recommended
□ Poorly structured / unclear
Comments:







9. REFERENCES AND CITATIONS
$\hfill\Box$ Complete, appropriate, and in accordance with the journal's style
□ Incomplete or inconsistent
☐ Important references missing
☐ Possible plagiarism suspected
Comments:
10. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION
☐ Accept without changes
☐ Accept with minor revisions
☐ Accept with major revisions
□ Reject
Comments: Summary of key reasons for recommendation:







ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR AND/OR AUTHOR

(Please provide specific suggestions for improvement, indicate strong and weak points, and note any ethical concerns if applicable.)

Reviewer's Signature (optional): (please fill in if appropriate)

Confidentiality Notice:

This review is confidential and intended solely for the editorial board of Confluente. Texts & Contexts Reloaded. It must not be shared or disclosed without the consent of the editors.